


PETITION OF MCIMETRO ACCESS )  
TRANSMISSION SERVICES LLC, )  
BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS )  
OF MISSOURI, INC AND MCI WORLDCOM )  
COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR )  
ARBITRATION OF AN INTERCONNECTION )  
AGREEMENT WITH SOUTHWESTERN BELL )  
TELEPHONE COMPANY UNDER THE )  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996. )

Case No. TO-2002-222

**SUBMISSION OF FULLY EXECUTED SIGNATURE PAGES TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS**

Come Now Brooks Fiber Communications of Missouri, Inc. and MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. and submit copies of the fully executed signature pages to their Interconnection Agreements with SWBT which have been previously filed for approval. The original signature pages will be filed when received from SWBT.

Respectfully submitted,




Carl J. Lumley #32869  
Leland B. Curtis #20550  
Curtis, Oetting, Heinz,  
Garrett & O'Keefe, PC  
130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200  
Clayton, Missouri 63105  
314-725-8788  
314-725-8789(fax)  
[clumley@cohgs.com](mailto:clumley@cohgs.com)

Attorneys for Brooks Fiber  
Communications of Missouri, Inc.  
and MCI WorldCom  
Communications, Inc.

**Certificate of Service**

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document was mailed this 30<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2002 to the persons listed on the attached list, by placing same in the US Mail, postage prepaid.



---

Office of Public Counsel  
P.O. Box 7800  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101


General Counsel  
P.O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101


Paul Lane  
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.  
One Bell Center, Room 3520  
St. Louis, Missouri 63101

THIS AGREEMENT CONTAINS A BINDING ARBITRATION PROVISION WHICH MAY BE ENFORCED BY THE PARTIES.

Brooks Fiber Communications of Missouri, Inc.\*\*

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Telephone Company by SBC Telecommunications, Inc., its authorized agent\*\*\*

Signature: 

Signature: 

Name: Marcel Henry  
(Print or Type)

Name: Mike Auinbauh

Title: Vice President  
(Print or Type)

Title: President - Industry Markets

Date: 9/10/02

Date: 9/30/02

AECN/OCN# \_\_\_\_\_  
(Facility Based - if applicable)

\*\*Portions of this agreement were established by means of arbitration before the Missouri Public Service Commission in Case No. TO-2002-222. The Parties acknowledge and agree that on May 13, 2002, the Supreme Court issued its opinion in *Verizon Communications, Inc. et. al. v. FCC et al.*, 535 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2002), in which the Court upheld the FCC's TELRIC rules and reinstated the FCC's combinations rules, 47 CFR §§51.315 (c) - (f). Brooks, MCI WorldCom, and MCI metro reserve all rights under Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to bring an action in an appropriate Federal district court (and any necessary subsequent appellate actions), after Commission action or deemed action under Section 252(e)(4) regarding this Agreement, to determine whether this Agreement meets the requirements of section 251 and 252 of the Act.

\*\*\*SWBT's provision of UNEs identified in this Agreement is subject to the provisions of the Federal Act, including but not limited to, Section 251(d). The Parties acknowledge and agree that on May 24, 2002, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia Circuit issued its decision in *United States Telecom Association, et. al v. FCC*, No. 00-101, in which the Court granted the petitions for review of the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-98 (FCC 99-238) ("the UNE Remand Order") and the FCC's Third Report and Order in CC Docket No. 98-147 and Fourth Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-98 (FCC 99-355) (rel. December 9, 1999) ("the Line Sharing Order"), specifically vacated the Line Sharing Order, and remanded both these orders to the FCC for further consideration in accordance with the decision. In addition, on November 24, 1999, the FCC issued its Supplemental Order *In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, (FCC 99-370) and on June 2, 2000, its Supplemental Order Clarification, (FCC 00-183), in CC Docket 96-98 (collectively the "Orders"). By entering into this Agreement which makes available certain UNEs, or any Amendment to this Agreement, neither Party waives any of its rights with respect to such Orders.

Legend:  
\* Represents language which resulted from Arbitration Docket TO-2002-222

THIS AGREEMENT CONTAINS A BINDING ARBITRATION PROVISION WHICH MAY BE ENFORCED BY THE PARTIES.


**MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.\*\*** **Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Telephone Company by SBC Telecommunications, Inc., its authorized agent\*\*\***

Signature: 

Name: Marcel Henry  
(Print or Type)

Title: Vice President  
(Print or Type)

Date: 9/10/02

Signature: 

Name: Mike Auinbaub

Title: President - Industry Markets

Date: 9/30/02

AECN/OCN# \_\_\_\_\_  
(Facility Based - if applicable)

\*\*Portions of this agreement were established by means of arbitration before the Missouri Public Service Commission in Case No. TO-2002-222. The Parties acknowledge and agree that on May 13, 2002, the Supreme Court issued its opinion in *Verizon Communications, Inc. et al. v. FCC et al.*, 535 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2002), in which the Court upheld the FCC's TELRIC rules and reinstated the FCC's combinations rules, 47 CFR §§51.315 (c) - (f). Brooks, MCI WorldCom, and MCImetro reserve all rights under Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to bring an action in an appropriate Federal district court (and any necessary subsequent appellate actions), after Commission action or deemed action under Section 252(e)(4) regarding this Agreement, to determine whether this Agreement meets the requirements of section 251 and 252 of the Act.

\*\*\*SWBT's provision of UNEs identified in this Agreement is subject to the provisions of the Federal Act, including but not limited to, Section 251(d). The Parties acknowledge and agree that on May 24, 2002, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia Circuit issued its decision in *United States Telecom Association, et al v. FCC*, No. 00-101, in which the Court granted the petitions for review of the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-98 (FCC 99-238) ("the UNE Remand Order") and the FCC's Third Report and Order in CC Docket No. 98-147 and Fourth Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-98 (FCC 99-355) (rel. December 9, 1999) ("the Line Sharing Order"), specifically vacated the Line Sharing Order, and remanded both these orders to the FCC for further consideration in accordance with the decision. In addition, on November 24, 1999, the FCC issued its Supplemental Order *In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, (FCC 99-370) and on June 2, 2000, its Supplemental Order Clarification, (FCC 00-183), in CC Docket 96-98 (collectively the "Orders"). By entering into this Agreement which makes available certain UNEs, or any Amendment to this Agreement, neither Party waives any of its rights with respect to such Orders.

Legend:

\* Represents language which resulted from Arbitration Docket TO-2002-222