

described below. During the pendency of any such proceedings to alter the proportion of ISP-Bound and 251(b)(5) traffic, CLEC and ILEC will remain obligated to pay based on the current proportion of ISP-Bound and 251(b)(5) traffic, subject to a true-up. Upon conclusion of a state Commission proceeding to determine the appropriate proportion/methodology, the Parties shall use the results of the state Commission proceeding and true-up of any amounts paid on ISP-Bound and 251(b)(5) traffic retroactive back to the date a Party first sought appropriate relief from the Commission to reflect the revised proportion of ISP-Bound and 251(b)(5) traffic as ordered by the state Commission.

17. Intrastate Access Rates

17.1 For intrastate intraLATA toll traffic, exchanged pursuant to the ICA's, and both the Local Wholesale Complete agreement between the Parties (effective March 11, 2005) and the 271 Local Switching agreement between the Parties (except for SBC Connecticut) (effective March 11, 2005), compensation for termination of intercompany traffic will be at terminating access rates for Message Telephone Service (MTS) and originating access rates for 800 Service, including the Carrier Common Line (CCL) charge where applicable, as set forth in each Party's Intrastate Access Service Tariff, but such compensation shall not exceed the compensation contained in an ILEC's tariff in whose exchange area the End User is located. For interstate intraLATA intercompany service traffic, compensation for termination of intercompany traffic will be at terminating access rates for MTS and originating access rates for 800 Service including the CCL charge, as set forth in each Party's interstate Access Service Tariff, but such compensation shall not exceed the compensation contained in the ILEC's tariff in whose exchange area the End User is located.

18. Reservation of Rights

18.1 Neither Party will argue or take the position before any state or federal regulatory body that this agreement constitutes an agreement or waiver relating to the appropriate routing, treatment and compensation for Voice Over Internet Protocol traffic and/or traffic utilizing in whole or part Internet Protocol technology; rather, each Party expressly reserves any rights, remedies, and arguments they may have as to such issues including but not limited, to any rights each may have as a result of the FCC's Order In the Matter of Petition for Declaratory Ruling that AT&T's Phone-to-Phone IP Telephony Services are Exempt from Access Charges, WC Docket No. 02-361 (rel. April 21, 2004) and the FCC's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking In the Matter of IP-Enabled Services, WC Docket 04-36 (rel. March 10, 2004). The Parties reserve the right to raise the appropriate treatment of Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) traffic during the term of this